Interview Summary

Application No.

10/623,198

Examiner

Thao X. Le

Applicant(s)

BARETZ ET AL.

Art Unit

2814

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>Thao X. Le</u> .	(3)Mr. Steven Hultquist.
(2) <u>Dr. Bruce Baretz</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 13 April 2007.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>31</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: Stevenson and Kitagawa.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The claimed limitation was discussed and the Applicant will file 132 to remove Tadamoto and 132 declaration regarding interpretation of Stevenson</u> .	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS	

INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required